UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/702,646	11/07/2003	Tetsuro Tojo	244779US3	3064
22850 7590 07/07/2011 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER	
			DINH, BACH T	
ALEXANDRIA	JRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1724	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/07/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/702,646	TOJO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	BACH DINH	1724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence add	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. Treply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this companies to the companies of the compa	
Status			
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 2a) ■ This action is FINAL . 2b) ■ TI 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde	his action is non-final. vance except for formal ma	·	merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the	ccepted or b) objected to ne drawing(s) be held in abeya ection is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFF	, ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have bee eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National S	Stage
Attachment(s) 1) \[\sum \] Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ∏ Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/702,646 Page 2

Art Unit: 1724

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 04/29/2011 has been entered.

Summary

- 2. This is the response to the communication filed on 04/29/2011.
- 3. Claims 1-9 remain pending in the application.
- 4. The application is not in condition for allowance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 7. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tojo et al. (WO 01/77412) with equivalent English translation provided by Tojo et al. (US 6,818,105) in view of Saito et al. (US 6,383,300).

The recited limitations "an inert gas substitution means for" of claims 1, 4 and 6 and "a detecting means for" in claim 2 and 7 invoke 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph. According to the specification, the inert gas substitution means includes the inert gas feeding line 91, the inert gas storage tank 92, the second automatic valve 73, the first automatic valve 74, and an HF feeding interruption detecting means (see specification on page 6). According to the specification, the first level sensing means 5 and the second level sensing means 6 constitutes the HF feeding interruption detecting means (see specification on page 9).

Addressing claims 1, 4 and 6, Tojo discloses a fluorine gas generator for generating fluorine gas by electrolyzing an electrolyte comprising a hydrogen fluoride containing mixed molten salt (col. 14 lines 22-25 or 14:22-25), which generator is equipped with:

A hydrogen fluoride gas feed line (figure 3, HF supply line, 10:64), one end of which is connected to a hydrogen fluoride gas supply source (10:53, the hydrogen fluoride gas is continuously fed; therefore, it is inherent that the hydrogen fluoride gas supply line is connected to a hydrogen fluoride gas supply source) and the other end of which is connected to a hydrogen fluoride gas inlet disposed in an electrolyte in the electrolyte bath (in figures 3-4, the HF supply line has one end or the inlet disposed in the

Application/Control Number: 10/702,646

electrolyte 3 in the electrolytic bath; furthermore, in 10:44-48, the HF gas is bubbled in the molten salt; therefore, it is implicitly disclosed that the inlet of the HF supply line is disposed in the electrolyte), for feeding hydrogen fluoride gas into the electrolyte as required by claim 1 and the electrolytic bath as required by claims 4 and 6,

A first automatic valve disposed one the hydrogen fluoride gas feed line (10:60-65, solenoid valve) and capable of being closed on the occasion of interruption of hydrogen fluoride gas feeding (10:65-11:11, the solenoid valve is automatically closed; therefore, the valve is capable of being closed on any occasions including the occasion of interruption of hydrogen fluoride gas feeding).

Tojo further discloses an inert gas tank 18, inert gas feed line, a plurality of valves and liquid level probes 8 and 9 for equalizing the pressure between the anode and cathode chambers in the event of pressure fluctuation due to the clogging of the fluorine gas line or the hydrogen gas line (9:7-10:11, figures 1-6) and in order to prevent possible back flow of the electrolytic bath (3:2-4). Additionally, Tojo discloses that during pressure fluctuation, the feeding of HF gas is interrupted by closing the solenoid valve (10:57-11:11); thus, Tojo already contemplate the limitation "substituting an inert gas therefor on the occasion of interruption of hydrogen fluoride gas feeding in order to prevent a negative pressure in the hydrogen gas feed line", which is resulted from the back flow of the electrolytic bath (3:2-4). This is evidenced from the disclosure in 9:20-51 and figure 3, where the clogging of the fluorine gas line causes pressure in the anode chamber 5 to decrease and the pressure in the cathode chamber 7 to increase, which pushes more electrolyte to the HF feed line as seen in figure 3. Subsequently, inert gas is introduced

Art Unit: 1724

in order to decrease the liquid electrolyte level in the cathode chamber to match that of the anode chamber. Moreover, Tojo recognizes that HF gas is corrosive (8:1-5). Tojo is silent regarding an inert gas substitution means for eliminating the hydrogen fluoride gas remaining in at least part of said line on the side downstream from the first automatic valve on said hydrogen gas feed line while the hydrogen fluoride gas inlet is disposed in the electrolytic bath, which part is located downstream from said first automatic valve and upstream of said hydrogen fluoride gas inlet because the only difference between the disclosure of Tojo and that of current claims is the inert gas feed line being directly connected to the hydrogen fluoride gas feed line.

Saito discloses a heat treatment apparatus; wherein, inert nitrogen gas is used to purge the apparatus by opening valves VB3 and VB3 while closing other valves (13:53-62).

Furthermore, the inner nitrogen gas feed lines is connected to the N_2 gas source 36a and connected to the reactive gas feed line at a location downstream from the automatic valve VB1 (figure 1).

At the time of the invention, one with ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to modify the fluorine gas generator of Tojo by connecting the inert gas feed line directly to the reactive HF feed line downstream of solenoid valve like that of Saito because introducing inert gas directly to the HF feed line downstream from the solenoid valve purges the HF feed line of corrosive gas (HF is corrosive, Tojo, 8:1-5) as well as preventing back flow of the electrolyte by equalizing the pressure between the anode chamber 5 and the cathode chamber 7 when the pressure of the cathode chamber 7 decreases as shown in figure 3 of Tojo. Furthermore, combining the known inert gas

Art Unit: 1724

feed line directly to the known HF reactive feed line according to the known method disclosed by Saito to achieve the predictable result of equalizing the pressure of the cathode and anode chambers in the fluorine generator in the event of pressure decrease in the cathode chamber is a matter of obviousness (rationale A, KSR decision, MPEP 2141). Regarding the recited limitation "substituting an inert gas thereof in case of emergency in the fluorine gas generator" of claim 4, Tojo discloses when the electrolysis is halted or in case of emergency, the apparatus is purged (9:43-45). Therefore, the disclosure of Tojo reads on the limitation recited above of instant claim.

Regarding the recited limitation "substituting an inert gas thereof in case the first automatic valve is closed" of claim 6, Tojo discloses the level probes 8 and 9 halt electrolysis when they detect a fluctuation limit (7:12-14) and purge the apparatus with inert gas when the electrolysis is halted (9:37-51). Furthermore, Tojo discloses the liquid level probe (liquid level probe disclosed in 11:2-10) detects fluctuation in the cathode chamber and sends out a signal to close the solenoid valves when such scenario occurs (11:2-11). Therefore, Tojo discloses when a fluctuation occurs, electrolysis is halted, the solenoid valve on the HF feed line is automatically closed and the whole system is purged with inert gas, which meets the above limitation of current claim.

Addressing claims 2, 5 and 7, Tojo discloses liquid level probes 8 and 9 which constitute the claimed "a detecting means for detecting interruption of feeding of the hydrogen fluoride gas". Tojo further discloses a second automatic valve (solenoid valve 54, figures 3-4) disposed on the inert gas feed line and operated in association with the detecting

Art Unit: 1724

means to feed the inert gas (7:18-27, the solenoid valve 54 is opened or closed in accordance with the detection results obtained from the level probes 8 and 9). Tojo discloses an inert gas storage tank 18 (figure 1) for storing the inert gas to be fed.

Saito discloses the inert gas feed line is provided for feeding the inert gas to the reactive gas feed line on the side downstream from the automatic valve VB1 (figure 1, the inert gas feed line is connected to the reactive gas feed line on the side downstream from the automatic valve VB1); the inert gas feed line further comprises a second automatic valve VB3 and operated in association with the automatic valve VB1 to feed the inert gas into the reactive gas feed line on the side downstream from the automatic valve VB1 (11:52-59, after the completion of the film, VB1 and VB2 are closed; 12:40-49 and 13:53-62, VB3 and VB4 are opened when all the other valves are closed in order to purge the system).

In conjunction with the rejection of claims 1, 4 and 6, the modified apparatus of Tojo with the connectivity of Saito would have the inert gas feed line connected to the HF feed line on the side downstream from the solenoid valve (solenoid valve disclosed in 10:57-65) and the automatic valve 54 of the inert gas feed line operates in association with the level probes 8 and 9 to feed inert gas into the HF feed line on the side downstream from the solenoid valve (7:18-27, the solenoid valve 54 is opened or closed in accordance with the detection results obtained from the level probes 8 and 9; therefore, when the solenoid valve 54 is opened, inert gas would be fed into the HF feed line on the side downstream from the solenoid valve).

Application/Control Number: 10/702,646 Page 8

Art Unit: 1724

Addressing claims 3, 8 and 9, Tojo discloses an inert gas storage tank 18 (figure 1) for storing the inert gas to be fed.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 04/29/2011 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive for the following reasons. Firstly, the discussed modification does not call for the replacement of the pressure equalization system of Tojo but a simple addition of the inert gas feed line to the HF gas feed line downstream of solenoid valve in the manner disclosed by Saito and such modification is well within the technical grasp of one with ordinary skill in the art considering similar connections are made by Tojo as shown in figure 1. Secondly, although it is recognized that the fluorine gas generator of Tojo is capable of equalizing the pressure between the cathode and anode chambers without the connection in the discussed modification; however, Tojo also recognizes the corrosive nature of the HF; therefore, the discussed connection not only supplement the pressure equalization process as desired by Tojo but also purge the HF feed line of corrosive HF gas. For the reasons above, Examiner maintains the position that claims 1-9 are obvious over the combined disclosures of Tojo in view of Saito.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BACH DINH whose telephone number is (571)270-5118. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday EST 7:00 A.M-3:30 P.M.

Application/Control Number: 10/702,646 Page 9

Art Unit: 1724

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Keith Hendricks can be reached on (571)272-1401. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

BD 06/30/2011

/Keith D. Hendricks/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1724